



doi: 10.4321/s0465-546x2021000200003

Artículo original

Determination of Different Cardiovascular Risk Scales in Spanish Teaching Staff: Associated Variables

Determinación de diferentes escalas de riesgo cardiovascular en el profesorado español: variables asociadas

Ángel Arturo López González^{1,2} 0000-0002-7439-8117

Pere Riutord Sbert¹ 0000-0003-2135-9699

Sebastiana Arroyo Bote¹ 0000-0002-5549-7697

Hilda González San Miguel¹ 0000-0002-7759-2206

Maria del Mar Rigo Vives¹ 0000-0002-3951-9489

José Ignacio Ramírez Manent^{3,4} 0000-0001-6887-4562

¹ADEMA University School Palma. Balearic Islands. Spain

²Head of Studies Occupational Medicine Teaching Unit. Balearic Islands. Spain

³Balearic Islands Health Service. Spain

⁴University of the Balearic Islands. Balearic Islands. Spain

Correspondence

Ángel Arturo López González
angarturo@gmail.com

Recibido: 07/06/2021

Aceptado: 29/06/2021

Publicado: 31.03.2021

Author contribution Statement

Conceptualization: AALG, PRS Data collection and analysis: SAB, HGSM Methodology: JIRM, MMRV Draft: JIRM, AALG Revision PRS, HGSM, SAB.

Conflict of interest

Se señala la no existencia de conflicto de intereses para los autores del presente artículo.

Cómo citar este trabajo

López González AA, Riutord Sbert P, Arroyo Bote S., Algunos aspectos sobre el desarrollo profesional de la medicina evaluadora de incapacidades laborales. Med Segur Trab (Internet). 2021;67(262):99-111. doi: 10.4321/s0465-546x2021000200003

BY-NC-SA 4.0

Abstract

Introduction: Cardiovascular disease is currently one of the most prevalent non-communicable diseases, affecting nearly 57% of the world's population, accounting for 32% of deaths worldwide. The aim of the study is to determine the cardiovascular risk of teaching staff.

Methods: Retrospective, cross-sectional study carried out on 4,738 teachers from different Spanish geographical areas with a mean age of 41 years. Different variables related to cardiovascular risk are determined, such as overweight and obesity scales, nonalcoholic fatty liver scales, atherogenic indices, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk scales, among others.

Results: Among the results we highlight a prevalence of hypercholesterolemia of 41%, hypertriglyceridemia 12.1%, hypertension 15.5%, obesity using BMI 13%, high risk of fatty liver 11.3% and moderate or high SCORE values 11.4%.

Conclusion: The teaching staff is not free from developing CVD that we can relate to the lack of physical activity and an unhealthy diet that increases the prevalence of obesity and overweight.

Keywords: Cardiovascular risk factors; Prevalence; Teaching staff.

Resumen

Introducción: Las enfermedades cardiovasculares son actualmente una de las enfermedades no transmisibles más prevalentes, ya que afectan a casi el 57% de la población mundial siendo responsables del 32% de las muertes en el mundo. El objetivo del estudio es conocer el riesgo cardiovascular del personal docente.

Métodos: Estudio retrospectivo y transversal realizado en 4.738 profesores de diferentes zonas geográficas españolas con una edad media de 41 años. Se determinan diferentes variables relacionadas con el riesgo cardiovascular, como escalas de sobrepeso y obesidad, escalas de hígado graso no alcohólico, índices aterogénicos, síndrome metabólico y escalas de riesgo cardiovascular, entre otras.

Resultados: Entre los resultados destacamos una prevalencia de hipercolesterolemia del 41%, hipertrigliceridemia del 12,1%, hipertensión del 15,5%, obesidad mediante IMC del 13%, alto riesgo de hígado graso del 11,3% y valores de SCORE moderados o altos del 11,4%.

Conclusión: El profesorado no está exento de desarrollar ECV que podemos relacionar con la falta de actividad física y una dieta poco saludable que aumenta la prevalencia de obesidad y sobrepeso.

Palabras clave: Factores de riesgo cardiovascular; Prevalencia; Profesorado.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD), which includes hypertension and cardiac ischemic conditions, among other⁽¹⁾ is currently one of the most prevalent non-communicable diseases⁽²⁾, affecting up to 57% of the global population⁽³⁾. It is considered by the World Health Organization as one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide⁽⁴⁾, and is currently responsible for causing 32% of deaths in the world⁽³⁾.

CVD risk factors can be either modifiable, which include tobacco consumption, obesity, a sedentary life, a high-fat diet, and high blood pressure, and non-modifiable, such as age or sex⁽⁵⁾. In general, the population presents several of these factors that usually interact with each other, and thus enhance their individual effects⁽⁶⁾.

It has been reported that teachers may have an increased risk of developing cardiovascular disease, due to their sedentary lifestyle⁽⁷⁾, the constant changes in their workplace and the limited free time they have. All of this could lead to a poor diet and thus have a negative impact on their cardiovascular health^(8,9).

Taking this into consideration, the aim of this study was to identify the cardiovascular risk factors associated to teaching staff and determine their cardiovascular risk, which could serve as a basis for subsequent preventive actions in the workplace.

Methods

A retrospective and cross-sectional study was carried out in a group of 4.738 teachers from different Spanish geographic areas between January 2019 and July 2020. Teachers were selected from among those who went to periodic occupational health medical examinations considering the following inclusion criteria:

- Aged between 18-67 years.
- Be actively working.
- Accepted their voluntary participation in the study and authorized the use of data for epidemiological purposes.
- No previous serious CVD (myocardial infarction, cerebrovascular disease, etc.)

Figure 1 is a representative depiction of how participants were included in the study.

Anthropometric, clinical and analytical measurements were carried out by the health personnel of the different occupational health units participating in the study, after homogenizing the measurement techniques.

Parameters related to CVD risk included in the assessment

Weight (expressed in kg) and height (expressed in cm) were determined with a scale-height rod using a SECA 700 (with a 200 kg capacity and divisions of 50 grams) and a SECA telescopic height rod 220 with millimeter division and 60-200 cm intervals.

Abdominal waist circumference was measured in cm with a SECA 200 tape measure, with an interval of 1-200 cm and millimeter division. For measurement, the person stood upright, feet together, abdomen relaxed and upper extremities hanging on both sides of the body. The tape measure was then placed at the level of the last floating rib, parallel to the ground. The waist/height ratio (WtHR) was calculated by dividing the waist circumference by the height, and was considered high when $WtHR > 0.50$.

Blood pressure was measured in the supine position with a calibrated OMRON M3 automatic sphygmomanometer and after 10 minutes of rest. Three determinations were made at one minute intervals, obtaining the mean value of the three. Values >140 mm Hg systolic or >90 mm Hg diastolic were con-

sidered hypertension. Blood tests were obtained by peripheral venipuncture after a 12h fast and were processed in a maximum time of 48-72h.

Automated enzymatic methods were used for blood glucose, total cholesterol and triglycerides determination. Values are expressed in mg/dl. HDL (mg/dl) was determined by precipitation with MgCl₂ dextran sulfate, and LDL (mg/dl) was calculated using the Friedewald formula (provided that triglycerides were <400 mg/dl).

Friedewald formula: $LDL = \text{total cholesterol} - HDL - \text{triglycerides}/5$

Basal blood glucose results were classified based on the recommendations of the American Diabetes Association⁽¹⁰⁾, considering hyperglycemia >125 mg/dl. Lipid profile values were classified according to the recommendations of the Spanish Heart Foundation (high cholesterol >239 mg/dl, high LDL >159 mg/dl, and high triglycerides >200 mg/dl).

Three atherogenic indexes were calculated:

- Cholesterol/HDL (considered high when >5 in men and >4.5 in women)
- LDL/HDL (high values >3)
- Triglycerides/HDL (high values >3)⁽¹¹⁾

Metabolic syndrome was determined using three models:

a) NCEP ATP III (National Cholesterol Educational Program Adult Treatment Panel III), which establishes metabolic syndrome when three or more of the following factors are present: waist circumference is greater than 88 cm in women and 102 in men; triglycerides >150 mg/dl or specific treatment is followed; blood pressure >130/85 mm Hg; HDL <40 mg/dl in women or <50 mg/dl in men or specific treatment is followed; and fasting blood glucose >100 mg/dl or specific glycemic treatment is followed.

b) The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) model⁽¹²⁾, which considers the presence of central obesity necessary, defined as a waist circumference of >80 cm in women and >94 cm in men, in addition to two of the other factors abovementioned for ATP III (triglycerides, HDL, blood pressure and glycaemia).

c) The JIS model⁽¹³⁾, which follows the same criteria as NCEP ATP III but the waist circumference cut-off values are 80 cm in women and 94 cm in men.

The REGICOR scale, which is an adaptation of the Framingham scale to the characteristics of the Spanish population⁽¹⁴⁾, estimates the risk of suffering a cerebrovascular event in a period of 10 years. This scale can be applied to people between 35 and 74 years old, where the risk is moderate at >5% and high at >10%⁽¹⁵⁾. The SCORE scale recommended for Spain^(16,17) estimates the risk of suffering a fatal cerebrovascular event in a period of 10 years. It applies to people between 40 and 65 years old, and the risk is considered moderate at >4% and high at > 5%⁽¹⁶⁾. In order to determine vascular age, calibrated tables were used⁽¹⁸⁾. For vascular age using the Framingham model⁽¹⁸⁾, age, gender, HDL-c, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, hypertensive treatment, tobacco consumption and diabetes are all taken into consideration and can be used in individuals of >30 years of age. For vascular age using the SCORE model⁽¹⁹⁾, age, gender, systolic blood pressure, tobacco consumption and total cholesterol are considered and can be used in individuals aged between 40 and 65 years old. An interesting concept that can be applied to the vascular ages using both these models is the ALLY (avoidable lost life years)⁽²⁰⁾, which can be defined as the difference between the biological age (BA) and vascular age (VA).

$$ALLY = VA - BA$$

To calculate the visceral adiposity index⁽²¹⁾ (VAI), the following formula was used:

$$\text{VAI} = \left(\frac{\text{WC}}{39,68 + (1,88 \times \text{BMI})} \right) \times \left(\frac{\text{TG}}{1,03} \right) \times \left(\frac{1,31}{\text{HDL}} \right)$$

$$\text{VAI} = \left(\frac{\text{WC}}{36,58 + (1,89 \times \text{BMI})} \right) \times \left(\frac{\text{TG}}{0,81} \right) \times \left(\frac{1,52}{\text{HDL}} \right)$$

The Conicity index⁽²²⁾ was calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{waist circumference (in meters)}}{0.109} \times 1 / \sqrt{\frac{\text{weight (in kilogram)}}{\text{height (in meters)}}}$$

The cardiometabolic index (CMI)⁽²³⁾ was calculated by multiplying the waist-to-height ratio times the atherogenic triglycerides index/HDL-c.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight by height in squared meters. Obesity was considered over 30. The waist-to-height ratio was considered to be of risk when >0.50⁽²⁴⁾.

We used two formulas to estimate the percentage of body fat:

- Relative fat mass (RFM)⁽²⁵⁾, whereby the height and waist circumference are expressed in meters.

Women: $76 - (20 \times (\text{height}/\text{waist}))$

Men: $64 - (20 \times (\text{height}/\text{waist}))$

The cut-off points for obesity were 33.9% in women and 22.8% in men.

- CUN BAE⁽²⁶⁾ (University of Navarra Body Adiposity Estimator Clinic) using the following formula:

$$44.988 + (0.503 \times \text{age}) + (10.689 \times \text{sex}) + (3.172 \times \text{BMI}) - (0.026 \times \text{BMI}^2) + (0.181 \times \text{BMI} \times \text{sex}) - (0.02 \times \text{BMI} \times \text{age}) - (0.005 \times \text{BMI}^2 \times \text{sex}) + (0.00021 \times \text{BMI}^2 \times \text{age})$$

Where male gender is equal to 0 and female equal to 1.

The CUN BAE cut-off points for obesity are 25% in men and 35% in women.

The fatty liver index (FLI)⁽²⁷⁾ determines the risk of suffering from non-alcoholic fatty liver and is calculated as follows:

$$\text{FLI} = \left(\frac{e^{0.953 \times \log_e(\text{triglycerides}) + 0.139 \times \text{BMI} + 0.718 \times \log_e(\text{ggT}) + 0.053 \times \text{waist circumference} - 15.745}}{1 + e^{0.953 \times \log_e(\text{triglycerides}) + 0.139 \times \text{BMI} + 0.718 \times \log_e(\text{ggT}) + 0.053 \times \text{waist circumference} - 15.745}} \right) \times 100$$

FLI scores of 60 and above indicated that FL was present.

Lipid accumulation product (LAP)⁽²⁸⁾ was calculated using the following formula:

- In men: $(\text{waist circumference (cm)} - 65) \times (\text{triglyceride concentration (mMol)})$.
- In women: $(\text{waist circumference (cm)} - 58) \times (\text{triglyceride concentration (mMol)})$

$$\text{TyGindex}^{(29)} = \text{LN}(\text{triglycerides [mg/dl]} \times \text{glycaemia [mg/dl]}/2).$$

Atherogenic dyslipidemia (AD) is characterized by high values of triglycerides (>150 mg/dl), low levels of HDL (<40 mg/dl in men and <50 mg/dl in women) and normal or slightly high levels of LDL. If these latter ones are also high, it is known as the lipid triad (LT)⁽³⁰⁾.

An individual was considered a smoker if they had regularly consumed at least 1 cigarette/day (or the equivalent in other types of consumption) in the last month, or had stopped smoking less than a year ago.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of the categorical variables was carried out, calculating the frequency and distribution of responses for each of them. For quantitative variables, the mean and standard deviation were calculated, and for qualitative variables the percentage was calculated. A bivariate association analysis was performed using the χ^2 test (with a correction with the Fisher's exact statistical test, when conditions required so) and a Student's t-test for independent samples. For the multivariate analysis, binary logistic regression was used with the Wald method, with an Odds-ratio calculation and a Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test. Statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS 27.0 program and a p value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Ethical considerations and aspects

The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Health Area of Illes Balears in November 2020. All procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki. All patients signed written informed consent documents prior to participation in the study.

Results

The descriptive analysis indicates that the sample population included is predominantly made up of women between 40-41 years old. All analyzed variables presented less favorable values in men, except the amount of smokers, which was higher in women. Thus, differences between are statistically significant for all variables, as detailed in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of teaching staff.

	Women n=3002	Men n=1736	Total n=4738	
	mean (sd)	mean (sd)	mean (sd)	p-value
Age	40.8 (10.6)	41.4 (10.0)	41.0 (10.4)	0.057
Height	162.8 (6.3)	176.1 (6.8)	167.7 (9.1)	<0.0001
Weight	65.0 (12.7)	81.0 (13.0)	70.9 (15.0)	<0.0001
Waist	73.7 (9.6)	85.0 (10.2)	77.8 (11.2)	<0.0001
Systolic blood pressure	116.8 (14.4)	126.2 (14.7)	120.2 (15.2)	<0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure	71.5 (10.5)	77.1 (10.4)	73.5 (10.8)	<0.0001
Total cholesterol	194.4 (35.6)	196.0 (35.9)	195 (35.7)	<0.0001
HDL-c	56.8 (8.2)	50.9 (7.8)	54.6 (8.6)	<0.0001
LDL-c	120.0 (34.6)	122.3 (34.6)	120.8 (34.6)	<0.0001
Triglycerides	88.1 (48.3)	115.8 (70.3)	98.3 (58.9)	<0.0001
Glucose	87.1 (14.7)	92.9 (24.5)	89.2 (19.1)	<0.0001
AST	19.1 (8.4)	22.5 (6.8)	20.4 (8.0)	<0.0001
ALT	20.9 (15.6)	30.5 (23.8)	24.6 (19.8)	<0.0001
GGT	20.3 (16.0)	33.9 (30.5)	25.5 (23.6)	<0.0001
	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	p-value
<30 years	16.1	12.7	14.8	<0.0001
30-39 years	32.5	32.0	32.4	
40-49 years	28.0	32.0	29.5	

	Women n=3002	Men n=1736	Total n=4738	
≥50 years	23.4	23.3	23.3	
Smokers	66.8	68.1	67.2	<0.0001
Non-smokers	33.2	31.9	32.8	

The average values obtained using the different scales and scores present more unfavorable values in men, including those associated to overweight and obesity (BMI, WtHR, CUN BAE, RFM, VAI and CI), cardiovascular risk (ALLY vascular age, REGIOR scale and SCORE scale), fatty liver indexes (FLI, LAP), and atherogenic indexes (metabolic syndrome and CMI). All data are presented in Table 2.

Regarding the prevalence of altered values of the different scales, women are those who present a more favorable result, whereby they were all statistically significant different compared to men (Table 3).

Regarding the multivariate analysis using logistic regression, the only parameter that had any influence in all the analyzed scales was age, with ORs ranging from 1.7 (95 CI 1.3-2.1) for WtHR > 0.50 to 184.7 (95% CI 61.9-551.4) for SCORE scale moderate-high. On the contrary, tobacco consumption only had an influence on the REGICOR and SCORE scales (Table 4).

Table 2: Mean values of the different CVR scales according to gender in teaching staff.

	Women n=3002	Men n=1736	Total n=4738	
	mean (sd)	mean (sd)	mean (sd)	p-value
Body mass index	24,5 (4,7)	26,1 (3,9)	25,1 (4,5)	<0.0001
Waist-to-height ratio	0.45 (0.06)	0.48 (0.06)	0.046 (0.06)	<0.0001
CUN BAE*	34.4 (6.5)	24.9 (5.7)	30.9 (7.7)	<0.0001
Relative fat mass	31.1 (5.3)	22.1 (4.6)	27.8 (6.7)	<0.0001
Visceral adiposity index	2.7 (1.7)	6.8 (5.9)	4.2 (4.3)	<0.0001
Conicity index	1.1 (0.1)	1.2 (0.1)	1.1 (0.1)	<0.0001
ALLY SCORE vascular age	4.0 (4.8)	7.1 (6.5)	5.2 (5.7)	<0.0001
ALLY Framingham vascular age	0.1 (10.9)	5.1 (9.5)	1.9 (10.7)	<0.0001
SCORE scale	0.5 (0.9)	1.6 (2.2)	0.9 (1.6)	<0.0001
REGICOR scale	2.3 (1.8)	3.1 (2.2)	2.6 (2.0)	<0.0001
Fatty liver index	15.5 (19.6)	33.6 (25.8)	22.4 (23.9)	<0.0001
Lipid accumulation product	16.8 (16.9)	28.5 (29.4)	21.1 (23.0)	<0.0001
AI Cholesterol/HDL-c	3.5 (0.9)	4.0 (1.1)	3.7 (1.0)	<0.0001
AI Triglycerides/HDL-c	1.6 (1.0)	2.4 (1.9)	1.9 (1.4)	<0.0001
AI LDL-c/HDL-c	2.2 (0.8)	2.5 (0.9)	2.3 (0.8)	<0.0001
Cardiometabolic index	0.7 (0.5)	1.2 (1.1)	0.9 (0.8)	<0.0001
Nº factors metabolic syndrome NCEP ATPIII criteria	0.8 (1.0)	1.1 (1.2)	0.9 (1.1)	<0.0001
Nº factors metabolic syndrome JIS criteria	0.8 (1.1)	1.5 (1.3)	1.1 (1.2)	<0.0001
Triglyceride-glucose index	8.1 (0.5)	8.4 (0.6)	8.3 (0.5)	<0.0001

(*) CUN BAE Clínica Universitaria de Navarra Body Adiposity Estimator.

Table 3: Prevalence of altered values of the different CVR scales by gender in teaching staff.

	Women n=3002	Men n=1736	Total n=4738	
	%	%	%	p-value
Waist to height ratio >0,5	16.1	33.5	22.5	<0.0001
Hypertension	10.9	23.6	15.5	<0.0001
Total Cholesterol ≥200	39.9	42.9	41.0	<0.0001
LDL-c ≥130	36.0	38.9	37.1	<0.0001
Triglyceride ≥150	6.9	21.1	12.1	<0.0001
Glucose ≥100	10.5	22.6	14.9	<0.0001
Diabetes	1.0	2.6	1.6	<0.0001
SCORE scale moderate-high*	3.7	23.8	11.4	<0.0001
REGICOR scale moderate-high**	12.4	18.0	14.5	<0.0001
Not metabolically healthy	47.6	65,0	53.9	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome NCEP ATPIII	7.0	12.9	9.2	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome IDF	7.5	8.6	7.9	<0.0001
Metabolic syndrome JIS	8.5	21.4	13.2	<0.0001
Atherogenic dyslipidemia	3.5	7.7	5.0	<0.0001
Lipid triad	0.9	2.1	1.4	<0.0001
Total Cholesterol/HDL-c moderate-high	12.4	15.0	13.3	<0.0001
Triglyceride/HDL-c high	5.6	22.4	11.7	<0.0001
LDL-c/HDL-c high	14.3	25.5	18.4	<0.0001
Obesity body mass index	11.7	15.0	12.9	<0.0001
Obesity CUN BAE	42.6	43.9	43.1	<0.0001
Obesity relative fat mass	29.4	44.0	34.8	<0.0001
Fatty liver index high risk	6.1	19.7	11.3	<0.0001

(*) women n= 1532 men n=958 total n=2490 (**) women n= 2046 men n=1222 total n=3268

Table 4: Logistic regression analysis.

	Gender			Age			Tobacco		
	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p
Waist to height ratio >0,5	2.7	2.2-3.2	<0.0001	1.7	1.3-2.1	<0.0001			ns
Hypertension	2.7	2.1-3.4	<0.0001	3.4	2.7-4.4	<0.0001			ns
Total Cholesterol ≥200			ns	3.9	3.2-4.8	<0.0001			ns
LDL-c ≥130			ns	4.1	3.4-5.0	<0.0001			ns
Triglyceride ≥150	3.7	2.9-4.8	<0.0001	2.1	1.6-2.8	<0.0001			ns
Glucose ≥100	2.6	2.1-3.3	<0.0001	3.6	2.8-4.5	<0.0001			ns
Diabetes	2.7	1.4-5.2	0.002	2.7	1.4-5.2	0.003			ns
SCORE scale moderate-high	26.5	14.4-48.8	<0.0001	184.7	61.9-551.4	<0.0001	10.6	5.9-19.3	<0.0001

	Gender			Age			Tobacco		
	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p	OR	CI 95%	p
REGICOR scale moderate-high	1.9	1.4-2.6	<0.0001	25.1	16.5-38.1	<0.0001	2.6	1.9-3.7	<0.0001
Not metabolically healthy	2.1	1.8-2.6	<0.0001	3.8	3.1-4.7	<0.0001			ns
Metabolic syndrome NCEP ATP III	2.0	1.5-2.7	<0.0001	3.7	2.8-4.9	<0.0001			ns
Metabolic syndrome IDF			ns	2.2	1.6-3.0	<0.0001			ns
Metabolic syndrome JIS	3.1	2.4-4.0	<0.0001	3.2	2.5-4.1	<0.0001			ns
Atherogenic dyslipidemia	2.4	1.6-3.5	<0.0001	3.2	2.2-4.6	<0.0001			ns
Lipid triad	2.3	1.1-4.6	<0.0001	6.5	3.1-13.6	<0.0001			ns
Cholesterol/HDL-c high			ns	4.2	3.3-5.4	<0.0001			ns
Triglyceride/HDL-c high	5.1	3.8-6.7	<0.0001	2.6	2.0-3.5	<0.0001			ns
LDL-c/HDL-c high	2.2	1.8-2.7	<0.0001	3.6	2.9-4.5	<0.0001			ns
Obesity body mass index	1.3	1.0-1.7	<0.0001	2.0	1.5-2.5	<0.0001			ns
Obesity CUN BAE			ns	3.7	3.0-4.5	<0.0001			ns
Obesity relative fat mass	1.9	1.6-2.3	<0.0001	1.6	1.3-1.9	<0.0001			ns
Fatty liver index high risk	3.8	2.8-5.3	<0.0001	2.0	1.5-2.8	<0.0001			ns




Figure 1: Flowchart of participants.

Discussion

In terms of cardiovascular risk, teaching staff have been included in very few studies regarding primary prevention and health promotion plans. However, there are studies prior to ours that focus on the most common risk factors (smoking, exercise and dietary fat consumption), which offer the possibility of detecting CVD risk factors and the impact of CVD screening on the perception cardiovascular risk in teaching staff, as well as their role in health promotion. In this sense, data suggest that the detection and counselling regarding CVD risk factors is an effective strategy to positively influence the level of physical activity of teachers, and highlights their role as motivators-educators which could ultimately have benefits beyond the classroom⁽³¹⁾.

The results of our study show a more unfavorable situation for men than for women in terms of cardiovascular risk, presenting an increase in all studied factors except for tobacco consumption, which was higher in women. Furthermore, obesity and overweight both stand out as important risk factor. Previous studies carried out in Spain have highlighted the importance of BMI as a determining factor in overweight and obesity and consider it a constant variable that increases with age, as seen in this study also, and that confers a 6-times greater risk of CVD. However, this study⁽³²⁾ does not show differences between men and women with statistical significance in BMI, probably due to the small sample size⁽³²⁾. However, in Hispanic-American countries, taking as a reference the study carried out in teachers from the University of Peru, there seem to be differences between men and women, whereby women present more unfavorable results in terms of weight. This study advocates for the promotion of a healthy lifestyle, mainly by means of a healthy diet and an increase in physical activity, in order to reduce cardiovascular and metabolic risk⁽³³⁾. Similar results have been obtained in other universities in Colombia⁽³⁴⁾, Ecuador⁽³⁵⁾ and Mexico⁽³⁶⁾, although all of them present lower sample sizes than in our study and thus make comparisons difficult. However, independently of the differences between the sample sizes, all studies show that early intervention of modifiable risk factors is crucial, whereby changes towards a healthy lifestyle can be very effective.

Teaching staff rarely identify the difference between modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors, hence most go unnoticed by these individuals and occur repeatedly. Thus, it is necessary to reinforce this information via education and medical check-ups. If identified and treated in time, the epidemiological morbidity would be reduced worldwide, and there would be a reduction in mortality due to CVD complications. Overall, this would provide a better quality of life and reduce associated side effects. The more risk factors an individual presents, the more chances they have to suffer CVD⁽³⁷⁾.

The prevalence of CVD risk factors among the studied teaching staff requires the planning of short, medium and long term interventions that take into consideration all of the parts involved: education and health authorities, education institutions, and teaching staff and families which, altogether, can contribute to reduce the prevalence of CVD in the future. Teaching staff is no exception regarding CVD risk factors, which as seen here can be affected by a sedentary lifestyle and an unhealthy diet, which promote overweight and obesity.

Conclusion

The prevalence of CVD risk factors among the studied teaching staff requires the planning of short, medium and long term interventions that take into consideration all of the parts involved: education and health authorities, education institutions, and teaching staff and families which, altogether, can contribute to reduce the prevalence of CVD in the future. Teaching staff is no exception regarding CVD risk factors, which as seen here can be affected by a sedentary lifestyle and an unhealthy diet, which promote overweight and obesity.

Bibliografía

1. Morales J, Matta H, Fuentes-Rivera J, Pérez R, Suárez C, Alvines D, et al. Exceso de peso y riesgo cardiometabólico en docentes de una universidad de Lima: oportunidad para construir entornos saludables. *Educ Médica*. 2018; 19 Suppl 3:256-62. doi: 10.1016/j.edumed.2017.08.003. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3CL5bdF>
2. Shrivastava U, Misra A, Mohan V, Unnikrishnan R, Bachani D. Obesity, Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases in India: Public Health Challenges. *Curr Diabetes Rev*. 2017;13(1):65-80. doi: 10.2174/1573399812666160805153328. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27515855/>
3. Manrique-Abril FG, Ospina-Díaz JM, Herrera-Amaya GM. Prevalencia de factores de riesgo para enfermedades cardiovasculares en Tunja, Colombia. *Investig Andin [online]*. 2011;13(23):312-22. ISSN: 0124-8146. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/30U10i8>
4. Psaltopoulou T, Hatzis G, Papageorgiou N, Androulakis E, Briasoulis A, Tousoulis D. Socioeconomic status and risk factors for cardiovascular disease: Impact of dietary mediators. *Hellenic J Cardiol*. 2017;58(1):32-42. doi: 10.1016/j.hjc.2017.01.022. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3oPW1HT>
5. O'Donnell ChJ, Elosua R. Cardiovascular Risk Factors. Insights from Framingham Heart Study. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2008;61(3):299-310. PMID: 18361904. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3nKUKMC>
6. Jahangiry L, Farhangi MA, Rezaei F. Framingham risk score for estimation of 10-years of cardiovascular diseases risk in patients with metabolic syndrome. *J Health Popul Nutr*. 2017;36(1):36. doi: 10.1186/s41043-017-0114-0. Disponible en: <https://jhpn.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s41043-017-0114-0>
7. Cabrera-Aguinaga GR, Núñez-Corrales S, Rímac-Gonzales A, Santoyo-Cumpa WM, Iglesias-Osores S, Arce-Gil Z. Frecuencia de riesgo cardiovascular en docentes de una universidad privada de Lambayeque 2018. *Revista Progaleno* 2020;3(1):9-17. Disponible en: <http://www.revprogaleno.sld.cu/index.php/progaleno/article/view/136/54>
8. Huse O, Palermo C, Evans M, Peeters A. Factors influencing healthy eating and physical activity amongst school staff. *Health Promot Int*. 2020 Feb 1;35(1):123-31. doi: 10.1093/heapro/day100. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/30WrEaw>
9. Fierro MJ, Salinas J, Lera L, González CG, Vio-Del Río F. Efecto de un programa para profesores sobre cambio de hábitos alimentarios y habilidades culinarias en escuelas públicas de Chile. *Nutr Hosp*. 2019 Abr 10;36(2):441-8. doi: 10.20960/nh.2190. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/2ZgipFz>
10. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;33(Suppl 1):S62-9. doi: 10.2337/dc11-S062. Disponible en: https://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/34/Supplement_1/S62
11. López-González AA, Rivero-Ledo YI, Vicente-Herrero MT, Gil-Llinás M, Tomás-Salvá M, Riutord-Fe B. Índices aterogénicos en trabajadores de diferentes sectores laborales del área mediterránea española. *Clin Investig Arterioscler*. 2015;27(3):118-28. doi: 10.1016/j.arteri.2014.10.004. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3xkqvJ5>
12. Zimmet P, Alberti KGMM, Serrano-Ríos M. A new international diabetes federation worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome: the rationale and the results. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2005;58(12):1371-6. doi: 10.1016/S1885-5857(06)60742-1. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3DQu3lk>
13. Cabrera-Roe E, Stusser B, Cáliz W, Orlandi N, Rodríguez J, Cubas-Dueñas I, et al. Concordancia diagnóstica entre siete definiciones de síndrome metabólico en adultos con sobrepeso y obesidad. *Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica*. 2017;34(1):19-27. doi: 10.17843/rpmesp.2017.341.2763. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/2ZlGvL6>
14. Marrugat J, Subirana I, Comín E, Cabezas C, Vila J, Elosua R, et al. Validity of an adaptation of the Framingham cardiovascular risk function: the VERIFICA Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2007;61(1):40-7. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.038505. Disponible en: <https://jech.bmj.com/content/61/1/40>

- 15.** Marrugat J, D'Agostino R, Sullivan L, Elosua R, Wilson P, Ordovas J, et al. An adaptation of the Framingham coronary heart disease risk function to Europe Mediterranean areas. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57(8):634-8. doi: 10.1136/jech.57.8.634. Disponible en: <https://jech.bmj.com/content/57/8/634>
- 16.** Sans S, Fitzgerald AP, Royo D, Conroy R, Graham I. Calibrating the SCORE cardiovascular risk chart for use in Spain. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2007;60(5):476-85. doi: 10.1016/S1885-5857(07)60188-1. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/32za8Kh>
- 17.** Buitrago F, Cañón-Barroso L, Díaz-Herrera N, Cruces E. Analysis of predictive value of Framingham-REGICOR and SCORE functions in primary health care. *Med Clin (Barc).* 2007;129(20):797. doi: 10.1157/13113769. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3FKyPkW>
- 18.** Ramírez M. La edad vascular como herramienta de comunicación del riesgo cardiovascular. Centro Integral para la Prevención de Enfermedades Crónicas. 2010. Disponible en: <http://pp.centramerica.com/pp/bancofotos/267-2570.pdf>
- 19.** Cuende JI. La edad vascular frente al riesgo cardiovascular: aclarando conceptos. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2016;69(3):243-6. doi: 10.1016/j.recesp.2015.10.022. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3ch8h7M>
- 20.** Cuende JI. Edad vascular, RR, ALLY, RALLY y velocidad de envejecimiento, basados en el SCORE: relaciones entre nuevos conceptos de prevención cardiovascular. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2018;71(5):399-400. doi: 10.1016/j.recesp.2017.01.031. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3nL215e>
- 21.** Amato MC, Giordano C. Visceral adiposity index: an indicator of adipose tissue dysfunction. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2014;2014:730827. doi: 10.1155/2014/730827. Disponible en: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/ije/2014/730827/>
- 22.** Andrade MD, Pruper-de Freitas MC, Sakumoto AM, Pappiani C, Andrade SC, Vieira VL, et al. Association of the conicity index with diabetes and hypertension in Brazilian women. *Arch Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;60(5):436-42. doi: 10.1590/2359-3997000000187. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3r7ALA2>
- 23.** Wakabayashi I, Daimon T. The "cardiometabolic index" as a new marker determined by adiposity and blood lipids for discrimination of diabetes mellitus. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2015;438:274-8. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2014.08.042. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3DMYR6M>
- 24.** Browning LM, Hsieh SD, Ashwell M. A systematic review of waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for the prediction of cardiovascular disease and diabetes: 0,5 could be a suitable global boundary value. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2010;23(2):247-69. doi: 10.1017/S0954422410000144. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3DNKv5T>
- 25.** Woolcott OO, Bergman RN. Relative fat mass (RFM) as a new estimator of whole-body fat percentage-A cross-sectional study in American adults individuals. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):10980. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29362-1. Disponible en: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-29362-1>
- 26.** Gómez-Ambrosi J, Silva C, Catalán V, Rodríguez A, Galofré JC, Escalada J, et al. Clinical usefulness of a new equation for estimating body fat. *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35(2):383-8. doi: 10.2337/dc11-1334. Disponible en: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3263863/>
- 27.** Bedogni G, Bellentani S, Miglioli L, Masutti F, Passalacqua M, Castiglione A, Tiribelli C. The Fatty Liver Index: a simple and accurate predictor of hepatic steatosis in the general population. *BMC Gastroenterol.* 2006;6:33. doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-6-33. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3HPCvDP>
- 28.** Chiang JK, Koo M. Lipid accumulation product: a simple and accurate index for predicting metabolic syndrome in Taiwanese people aged 50 and over. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2012;12:78. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-12-78. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3nL1tFB>
- 29.** Unger G, Benozzi SF, Peruzza F, Pennacchiotti GL. Triglycerides and glucose index: A useful indicator of insulin resistance. *Endocrinol Nutr.* 2014;61(10):533-40. doi: 10.1016/j.endonu.2014.06.009. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/2ZjLr2Z>
- 30.** Bestehorn K, Smolka W, Pittrow D, Schulte H, Assmann G. Atherogenic dyslipidemia as evidenced by the lipid triad: prevalence and associated risk in statin-treated patients in ambulatory care. *Curr Med*

Res Opin. 2010;26(12):2833-9. doi: 10.1185/03007995.2010.532088. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3r6bd-Dp>

31. O'Loughlin J, Renaud L, Paradis G, Meshfedjian G. Screening school personnel for cardiovascular disease risk factors: short-term impact on behavior and perceived role as promoters of heart health. *Prev Med.* 1996;25(6):660-7. doi: 10.1006/pmed.1996.0104. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3nL1YGv>

32. Sandoval-Jaramillo V, Pedroza-Amezquita A, Alpala-Díaz DA, Esneider-Patiño-Palma B, Calero-Saa PA. Riesgo cardiovascular y factores asociados en docentes de una institución universitaria [Cardiovascular risk and associated factors in university teachers]. *Rehabilitacion (Madr).* 2021;55(2):111-7. doi: 10.1016/j.rh.2020.09.001. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3FKIDwl>

33. Morales J, Matta H, Fuentes-Rivera J, Pérez R, Suárez C, Alvines D, et al. Exceso de peso y riesgo cardiometabólico en docentes de una universidad de Lima: oportunidad para construir entornos saludables. *Educ Médica.* 2018;19 Suppl 3:256-62. doi: 10.1016/j.edumed.2017.08.003. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3CL5bdF>

34. Wilches-Luna EC, Hernández NL, Chavarro PA, Bernal-Sánchez JJ. Perfiles de riesgo cardiovascular y condición física en docentes y empleados no docentes de una facultad de salud. *Rev Salud Pública.* 2016;18(6):890-903. doi: 10.15446/rsap.v18n6.42708. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3xkCbbi>

35. Mendoza-Suárez HS, Concha-Pazmiño GA, Cevallos-Jaramillo GC, Lenin-Eduardo GA. Factores de riesgo cardiovascular en el personal que labora en unidad educativa particular de la ciudad de Guayaquil en el periodo enero-junio del 2018. *Espirales revista multidisciplinaria de investigación científica.* 2019;3(30):112-20. doi: 10.31876/er.v3i30.624. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3DRIG9f>

36. Cerecero P, Hernández B, Aguirre D, Valdés R, Huitrón G. Estilos de vida asociados al riesgo cardiovascular global en trabajadores universitarios del Estado de México. *Salud Pública Méx.* 2009;51(6):465-73. ISSN: 0036-3634. Disponible en: <https://bit.ly/3COg3r1>

37. Factores de riesgo cardiovascular [Internet]. Texas Heart Institute. 2002 [cited 26 September 2017]. Disponible en: <https://www.texasheart.org/heart-health/heart-information-center/topics/factores-deriesgo-cardiovascular/>